Astro 507 Lecture 15 Feb. 27, 2020

Announcements:

- Preflight 3 was due today
- Problem Set 3 posted, due next Friday March 6

Last time: began Modern Cosmology–Homogeneous Edition Pressing Question: how to reconcile $\Omega_m \sim 0.3$ and $\Omega_0 = 1$?

Strategy: Cosmic Dynamics Reveal Cosmic Contents

Friedmann:

$$H(z)^{2} \stackrel{\text{flat}}{=} \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left[\rho_{\text{m},0} (1+z)^{3} + \rho_{\text{other}}(z) \right]$$
(1)

measure $H(z) \rightarrow$ probe ρ_{other} if it exists

observables: standard candle \rightarrow luminosity distance

$$d_{\mathsf{L}}(z) \stackrel{\text{flat}}{=} (1+z) \int_0^z \frac{dz}{H(z)}$$
(2)

measure d_{L} at many z, then:

$$d_{\mathsf{L}}(z+\Delta z) - d_{\mathsf{L}}(z) = (1+z) \int_{z}^{z+\Delta z} \frac{dz}{H(z)} \approx (1+z) \frac{\Delta z}{H(z)} \quad (3)$$

Ν

Supernova Zoology 101

Type II* (Core-Collapse) Supernovae

massive star $\gtrsim 8 - 10 M_{\odot}$ gravitational collapse optical (baryonic) explosion: $E_{\rm vis} \sim 10^{51}$ erg but most energy released in neutrinos: $E_{\nu} \sim 3 \times 10^{53}$ erg neutron star/black hole remnant

*Types Ib and Ic events also due to core-collapse

Type Ia (Thermonuclear) Supernovae

binary system: white dwarf and companion WD accretes \rightarrow pushed over Chandrasehkar limit i.e., drive $M_{\rm WD}>1.4M_\odot$ \rightarrow gravitationally unstable thermonuclear detonation $E_{\rm exp}\sim 10^{51}$ erg

ω

Q: pros and cons of each Type for cosmology?

Supernova Cosmology: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly

Type II Supernovae

Pro

• Understand basic physics: most E_{SN} in neutrinos saw 1987A neutrinos confirmed basic picture

Con

- Don't understand optical explosion:
- $E_{\rm vis} \sim 1\% E_{\rm SN}$ tough! models often don't explode!
- core collapse: range of masses, E_{SN}
- \Rightarrow diverse range of $L \Rightarrow$ candle not std occur in *-form regions \rightarrow obscured

Type Ia Supernovae

Pro

- Chandra limit \sim fixed mass + nuke binding \sim fixed \approx fixed E release
- \Rightarrow fixed L(t): std candle!
 - low-z SN Ia nearly identical L(t)
 - outside *-form: less(?) obscured

Con

- Don't understand basic scenario: who is companion? giant? another WD? astrophysical "black box"
- low-z Ia not identical L(t)

Type Ia Supernovae: "Standardizable" Candles

Type Ia events: best candidates on balance (for now)

- empirically (low-z) closest to std candles
- ullet typically \sim 1 mag brighter than SN II \rightarrow can probe higher z
- ...but check for systematics!

Type Ia light curves (low-z): *E Pluribus Unum* light curve L(t) same basic shape-rise, fall

- ... but spread in timescale (\sim FWHM) & peak L
- ... but these are tightly *correlated*!
- $\rightarrow L(t)$ spread can be empirically fit with 1 parameter
- \Rightarrow scaled light curves \approx identical! www: light curves
- $_{\sigma} \Rightarrow$ "**standardized**" candles!

Supernova Cosmology Campaigns

Automated searches:

 \triangleright digital sky scans \sim 3–4 weeks apart

 \triangleright subtraction \rightarrow SN Ia, max light

▷ followup to get spectra as dims

www: SN images, spectra

The Pioneers

Supernova Cosmology Project	High- z Supernova Search
starting with SN 1992bi:	Starting with SN 1995K:
$ullet$ \sim 100 SN Ia	$ullet$ \sim 50 SNe
• 0.15 < <i>z</i> < 1.2	• 0.3 < <i>z</i> < 1.2

\star Hubble Space Telescope: fewer but very high-z events Riess et al (2004): 16 SN Ia

• 0.6 < z < 1.6; highest-z sample Riess et al (2007), GOODS survey with ACS: 13 new SN Ia

• 0.5 < z < 1.4

σ

Supernova Legacy Survey (2010) analysis of 472 SN Ia

- 123 low z
- 93 SDSS
- 242 SNLS
- 14 HST

Combine low-z + high-z data, then:

- 1. do cosmology
- 2. worry

Luminosity Distance and Acceleration

for a flat universe

$$d_L(z) = (1+z) \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{H(z')}$$

so $d_L(z) \sim \langle (1+z)z/H(z) \rangle$ traces expansion rate history

strategy:

- measure d_L over large z range
- infer evolution/change in $\langle 1/H \rangle$
- Q: What does this give us?
- Q: What are basic trends?

Change in $1/H \rightarrow$ change in H: \Rightarrow acceleration vs deceleration of scale factor

in fact, can show d_L (and d_A !) sensitive to **deceleration parameter**

$$q \equiv -\frac{\ddot{a}/a}{(\dot{a}/a)^2} \tag{4}$$

Q: why conventional – sign?

present value: q_0 but in general q can evolve

Acceleration and Luminosity Distance

Can show

$$d_L(z) = (1+z)\frac{c}{H_0} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{1+z'} e^{-\int_0^z \frac{dq(u)}{1+z'}} d\ln(1+u)$$

• cosmological details only enter via $q = -(\ddot{a}/a)/(\dot{a}/a)^2$

• uses only RW, not Friedmann: result indep of GR!

Compare different "universes" – i.e., models with different q(z)

$$\frac{d_L(z)_{\text{universe 1}}}{d_L(z)_{\text{universe 2}}} = \frac{\int_0^z \frac{dz'}{1+z'} e^{-\int_0^{z'} q(u)_{\text{universe 1}} d\ln(1+u)}}{\int_0^z \frac{dz'}{1+z'} e^{-\int_0^{z'} q(u)_{\text{universe 2}} d\ln(1+u)}}$$

Compare two possible universes

- non-accelerating: q = 0
- decelerating: q > 0

10

Q: which has bigger d_L at fixed z and fixed H_0 ?

Q: what if positive acceleration? www: d_L plots

SN Ia Survey Predictions

Luminosity distance: $d_L(z) = (1 + z)r_{com}(z)$

- $r_{\text{com}} \stackrel{\text{flat}}{=} \int dt/a(t) = \int da/a\dot{a}$: closest in decelerating U $\Rightarrow d_L^{\text{decel}} < d_L^{\text{non-accel}} < d_L^{\text{accel}}$
- candle brightness: $F_{decel} > F_{non-accel} > F_{accel}$

but since gravity is attractive, should slow expansion...

```
▷ deceleration: q > 0
faster H in past \rightarrow smaller 1/H
\rightarrow predict d_L(obs) < d_L(non - accel)
\rightarrow predict F_{obs} > F_{non-accel}:
expect std candles brighter than in q = 0
```

11