Astro 507 Lecture 26 April 1, 2020

Announcements:

 \vdash

• Preflight 5: due Friday

Office Hours: by email or appointment, or Instructor-after class today TA: noon-1pm tomorrow

Last time: big-bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) theory

- at T ≫ 1 MeV: baryons are n and p
 Q: why don't the free neutrons decay?
 Q: what sets n/p? why is this ratio important?
- at $T \sim 1$ MeV: weak freezeout

Q: what does this mean? why important?

• Q: first reaction in buildup of nuclei? Q: main products of BBN? why not \rightarrow ⁵⁶Fe? Reaction flows: tightest binding favored \rightarrow essentially all pathways flow to ⁴He www: nuke network almost all $n \rightarrow^{4}$ He: $n(^{4}\text{He})_{after} = 1/2 \ n(n)_{before}$ $Y_{p} = \frac{\rho(^{4}\text{He})}{\rho_{B}} \simeq 2(X_{n})_{before} \simeq 0.24$ (1) $\Rightarrow \sim 1/4$ of baryons into ⁴He, $3/4 \ p \rightarrow$ H result weakly (log) dependent on η

Robust prediction: large universal ⁴He abundance

Ν

But $n \rightarrow {}^{4}$ He incomplete: as nuke rxns freeze, leave traces of:

- D
- ³He (and ³H \rightarrow ³He)
- ⁷Li (and ⁷Be \rightarrow ⁷Li)

```
abundances \leftrightarrow nuke freeze T
trace species D, <sup>3</sup>He, <sup>7</sup>Li: strong n_B \propto \eta dependence
```

BBN theory predictions summarized in "Schramm Plot" Lite Elt Abundances vs η

www: Schramm plot

 $_{\omega}$ Note: no A > 7...so no C,O,Fe... *Q: why not?*

Why no elements A > 7?

1. Coulomb barrier

heavier products require heavier reactants which have higher charges

2. nuclear physics: "mass gaps" no stable nuclei have masses A = 5,8 \rightarrow with just $p \& {}^{4}$ He, can't overcome via 2-body rxs need 3-body rxns (e.g., $3\alpha \rightarrow {}^{12}$ C) to jump gaps but ρ , T too low

Stars *do* jump this gap, but only because have higher density a long time compared to BBN

Testing BBN: Warmup

BBN Predictions: Lite Elements vs η

To test: measure abundances

Where and when do BBN abundances (Schramm plot) apply?

Look around the room–not 76% H, 24% He. Is this a problem? Why not?

Solar system has metals not predicted by BBN Is this a problem? Why not?

 $_{\rm or}$ So how test BBN? What is the key issue?

When does first non-BBN processing start?

Testing BBN: Light Elements Observed

Prediction:

BBN Theory \rightarrow light elements at $t\sim$ 3 min, $z\sim 10^9$

Problem:

observe light elements in astrophysical settings typically $t\gtrsim 1\,$ Gyr, $z\lesssim few$ stellar processing alters abundances

Q: If measure abundances in a real astrophysical system, can you unambiguously tell that stars have polluted?

 $_{\circ}$ Q: How can we minimize (and measure) pollution level?

stars not only alter light elements
 but also make heavy element = "metals"
 stellar cycling: metals ↔ time

Solution: \rightarrow measure light elements and metals low metallicity \rightarrow more primitive in limit of metals \rightarrow 0: primordial abundances!

look for regions with low metallicity \rightarrow less processing

Deuterium

Two methods:

(1) use D/H_{\odot} , model D-Z evolution:

model dependent X (old school)

(2) measure D/H at high z YES"quasar absorption line systems"

QSO: for our purposes

high-z continuum source (lightbulb)

www: QSO spectrum

consider cloud, mostly H

• at $z < z_{qso}$, but still high z

e.g., $z_{qso} = 3.4, z_{cloud} = 3$

- H absorbs γ if energy tuned to levels lowest: $n = 1 \rightarrow 2$, Ly α
- but $Ly\alpha$ in QSO frame redshifted in cloud frame

What happens?

What about a cloud at yet lower z?

intervening material seen via absorption H: "Lyman- α forest"

Deuterium in High-*z* **Absorption Systems**

D energy levels \neq H: for Hydrogen-like atoms

$$E_n = -\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \mu c^2$$
 (2)

where $\mu = \text{reduced mass} = m_e m_A / (m_e + m_A) \simeq m_e (1 - m_e / A m_p)$ $\Rightarrow \Delta E = E_{n,D} - E_{n,H} \approx \pm 1/2 \ m_e / m_p \ E_{n,H}$ $\Rightarrow \Delta z_D = \Delta \lambda / \lambda = -1/2 \ m_e / m_p$ $c \Delta z_D = -82 \text{ km/s} \text{ (blueward)} \rightarrow \text{look for "thumbprint"}$ www: O'Meara D spectrum

What about stellar processing?

- ★ stars *destroy* D *before* H-burning! (pre-MS)
- * nonstellar astrophysical (Galactic) sources negligible

Epstein, Lattimer & Schramm 1977; updated in Prodanović & BDF 03)

- \Rightarrow BBN is only important D nucleosynthesis source
- $\rightarrow D(t)$ only decreases

10

chem evol models: versus Z metallicity: $D \sim e^{-Z/Z_{\odot}}D_p$ Quasar absorbers: $Z \sim 10^{-2}Z_{\odot} \rightarrow \text{expect } D_{\text{QSOALS}} \approx D_p$

Deuterium Results

Until recently: the 7 best systems (clean D, well-determined H)

$$\left(\frac{\mathsf{D}}{\mathsf{H}}\right)_{\mathsf{QSOALS}} = \left(\frac{\mathsf{D}}{\mathsf{H}}\right)_p = (2.78 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-5} \tag{3}$$

Cooke, Pettini (2012, 2013): new very high-precision systems Damped Ly α absorbers (DLAs):

$$\left(\frac{\mathsf{D}}{\mathsf{H}}\right)_{\mathsf{QSOALS}} = \left(\frac{\mathsf{D}}{\mathsf{H}}\right)_p = (2.53 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-5} \tag{4}$$

now a 2% measurement!